

NON-LINEAR GRASSMANNIANS AS COADJOINT ORBITS

STEFAN HALLER AND CORNELIA VIZMAN

ABSTRACT. For a given manifold M we consider the non-linear Grassmann manifold $\text{Gr}_n(M)$ of n -dimensional submanifolds in M . A closed $(n+2)$ -form on M gives rise to a closed 2-form on $\text{Gr}_n(M)$. If the original form was integral, the 2-form will be the curvature of a principal S^1 -bundle over $\text{Gr}_n(M)$. Using this S^1 -bundle one obtains central extensions for certain groups of diffeomorphisms of M . We can realize $\text{Gr}_{m-2}(M)$ as coadjoint orbits of the extended group of exact volume preserving diffeomorphisms and the symplectic Grassmannians $\text{SGr}_{2k}(M)$ as coadjoint orbits in the group of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms. We also generalize the vortex filament equation as a Hamiltonian equation on $\text{Gr}_{m-2}(M)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let M be a smooth connected closed manifold of dimension m . We are interested in the space of closed submanifolds of M . More precisely we fix a dimension n and let $\text{Gr}_n(M)$ denote the space of all n -dimensional oriented compact boundaryless submanifolds of M . This is easily seen to be a Fréchet manifold in a natural way. We consider this as a non-linear analogue of the classical Grassmann manifolds.

Every closed differential form α of degree $n+2$ on M gives rise to a closed 2-form $\tilde{\alpha}$ on $\text{Gr}_n(M)$. If α was integral, our first theorem says that there is a principal S^1 -bundle $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_n(M)$ with principal connection, whose curvature form is $\tilde{\alpha}$. The group of equivariant connection preserving diffeomorphisms of $\mathcal{P}$ then is a central extension of the group of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms on $\text{Gr}_n(M)$. The latter makes sense, even if $\tilde{\alpha}$ is degenerate. Restricting everything to a connected component of $\text{Gr}_n(M)$, the extension becomes 1-dimensional with fiber S^1 .

Now the group of diffeomorphisms of M which preserve α acts symplectically on $\text{Gr}_n(M)$. In some cases there are interesting subgroups G , which actually act in a Hamiltonian way. In such a situation the pull back of the central extension described above gives a central extension $1 \rightarrow S^1 \rightarrow \tilde{G} \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$.

Let us describe two cases in more detail. Suppose α was an integral volume form and let $\text{Gr}_{m-2}(M)$ denote the space of codimension 2 submanifolds. As mentioned above, the volume form gives a closed 2-form on $\text{Gr}_{m-2}(M)$, which turns out to be (weakly) non-degenerate. Then the group of exact volume preserving diffeomorphisms acts in a Hamiltonian way on $\text{Gr}_{m-2}(M)$. So the pull back gives central extensions $\tilde{G}$ of the group of exact volume preserving diffeomorphisms by S^1 . This is Ismagilov's way of constructing these extensions, see [I96]. Using the moment map we will then realize the symplectic manifold $\text{Gr}_{m-2}(M)$ as a coadjoint orbit

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 58B20.

Key words and phrases. coadjoint orbit, central extension.

Both authors are supported by the 'Fonds zur Förderung der wissenschaftlichen Forschung' (Austrian Science Fund), project number P14195-MAT.

of the group $\tilde{G}$. We even get Lie group structure on the extensions $\tilde{G}$ of the group of exact volume preserving diffeomorphisms.

For the second situation we have in mind we start with a symplectic manifold (M, ω) . Taking $\alpha := \omega^{k+1}$ we get a closed 2-form $\tilde{\alpha}$ on $\text{Gr}_{2k}(M)$. This form is no longer symplectic. However, when restricted to the open subset $\text{SGr}_{2k}(M)$ of symplectic submanifolds it will become non-degenerate. We will refer to $\text{SGr}_{2k}(M)$ as a non-linear symplectic Grassmannian. The group of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms of M now acts in a Hamiltonian way on $\text{SGr}_{2k}(M)$. So the procedure above yields central extensions of $\text{Ham}(M, \omega)$ by S^1 . These extensions are not very interesting, since the associated extensions of Lie algebras turn out to be trivial. However, it permits us to realize the symplectic manifold $\text{SGr}_{2k}(M)$ as a coadjoint orbit of $\text{Ham}(M, \omega)$.

For a Riemannian manifold (M, g) the non-linear Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_{m-2}(M)$ of codimension two submanifolds has a canonical almost Kähler structure. The g -volume of the submanifold gives a smooth function on $\text{Gr}_{m-2}(M)$ and its Hamiltonian equation generalizes the vortex filament equation.

Finally, let us remark that everything generalizes to non-compact M in a straight forward way. The diffeomorphism groups then have to be replaced by the compactly supported ones.

2. NON-LINEAR GRASSMANNIANS

Throughout the whole paper M will be a smooth closed connected m -dimensional manifold. Let $\text{Gr}_n(M)$ denote the space of all oriented compact n -dimensional not necessarily connected submanifolds without boundary. This is easily seen to be a Fréchet manifold in a natural way, see [KM97]. Note that there is a natural action of the group $\text{Diff}(M)$ on $\text{Gr}_n(M)$. A classical theorem due to R. Thom implies that $\text{Diff}(M)_0$, the connected component in the group of diffeomorphisms, acts transitively on every connected component of $\text{Gr}_n(M)$.

Suppose $N \in \text{Gr}_n(M)$. Then the tangent space of $\text{Gr}_n(M)$ at N can naturally be identified with the space of smooth sections of the normal bundle $TN^\perp := TM|_N / TN$. Any $\alpha \in \Omega^k(M)$ gives rise to $\tilde{\alpha} \in \Omega^{k-n}(\text{Gr}_n(M))$ via:

$$(\tilde{\alpha})_N(Y_1, \dots, Y_{k-n}) := \int_N i_{Y_{k-n}} \cdots i_{Y_1} \alpha.$$

Here $N \in \text{Gr}_n(M)$ and Y_j are tangent vectors at N , i.e. sections of $TN^\perp$. Then $i_{Y_{k-n}} \cdots i_{Y_1} \alpha \in \Omega^n(N)$ does not depend on representatives Y_j and integration is well defined, for $N \in \text{Gr}_n(M)$ comes with an orientation.

Let ζ denote the infinitesimal $\text{Diff}(M)$ -action on $\text{Gr}_n(M)$, that is for every vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ on M we have a fundamental vector field ζ_X on $\text{Gr}_n(M)$. One easily verifies the following

Lemma 1. *For every $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, $N \in \text{Gr}_n(M)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in \Omega^k(M)$ and every $\varphi \in \text{Diff}(M)$ we have:*

- (i) $\zeta_X(N) = X|_N$.
- (ii) $d\tilde{\alpha} = d\tilde{\alpha}$.
- (iii) $i_{\zeta_X} \tilde{\alpha} = \widetilde{i_X \alpha}$.
- (iv) $L_{\zeta_X} \tilde{\alpha} = \widetilde{L_X \alpha}$.
- (v) $\varphi^* \tilde{\alpha} = \widetilde{\varphi^* \alpha}$.

Suppose α is a closed k -form on M . Then we get a closed 2-form $\tilde{\alpha}$ on $\text{Gr}_{k-2}(M)$. Our first theorem states that if $[\alpha] \in H^k(M; \mathbb{R})$ is integral then $\tilde{\alpha}$ will be the curvature form of a principal S^1 -bundle over $\text{Gr}_{k-2}(M)$.

Theorem 1. *Let M be a closed connected manifold and let α be a closed k -form representing an integral cohomology class of M . Then there exist a principal S^1 -bundle $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k-2}(M)$ and a principal connection $\eta \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{P})$ whose curvature form is $\tilde{\alpha}$.*

Proof. Note that it suffices to prove this for one representative of $[\alpha] \in H^k(M; \mathbb{R})$. For if η is a principal connection with curvature $\tilde{\alpha}$ then $\eta + \pi^* \tilde{\beta}$ is a principal connection with curvature form $\alpha + d\beta$.

Pick a smooth triangulation Δ^* of M and let Δ^{m-k} denote its $(m-k)$ -skeleton. Choose an open neighborhood U of Δ^{m-k} which deformation retracts onto Δ^{m-k} . Moreover set $A := M \setminus U$ and $V := A^\circ = M \setminus \overline{U}$. One easily checks the following properties:

- (i) $H^j(A; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for all $j \geq k$. We will actually only use $H^k(A; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$.
- (ii) For all compact $K \subseteq M \setminus \Delta^{m-k}$ there exists $g \in \text{Diff}(M)_0$ with $g(K) \subseteq V$. If moreover $K' \subseteq V$ compact, then g and the diffeotopy connecting it to the identity can be chosen to fix the points in K' .

Using (i) and considering

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^k(M, A; \mathbb{R}) & \longrightarrow & H^k(M; \mathbb{R}) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ H^k(M, A; \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^k(A; \mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

we see that $[\alpha] \in H^k(M; \mathbb{R})$ has a representative which vanishes on V and which represents an integral class in $H^k(M, A; \mathbb{R})$, i.e. lies in the image of $H^k(M, A; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^k(M, A; \mathbb{R})$. Since it suffices to construct the bundle and the connection for some representative we may assume from now on

- (iii) α vanishes on V .
- (iv) α represents an integral class in $H^k(M, A; \mathbb{R})$.

Lemma 2. *Suppose L is a compact manifold of dimension $l < k$, which might have a boundary (even corners) and suppose $f : L \rightarrow M$ smooth. Then there exists $g \in \text{Diff}(M)_0$, such that $g(f(L)) \subseteq V$. Moreover if $f(\partial L) \subseteq V$ then g and the diffeotopy connecting it with the identity can be chosen to fix the points in $f(\partial L)$.*

Proof of Lemma 2. A well known transversality argument shows that there exists $g_1 \in \text{Diff}(M)_0$ with $g_1 \circ f$ transversal to Δ^{m-k} . Since $l < k$ we thus must have $g_1(f(L)) \cap \Delta^{m-k} = \emptyset$. From (ii) we get $g_2 \in \text{Diff}(M)_0$ with $g_2(g_1(f(L))) \subseteq V$. The second part is proved similarly. $\square$

Let us continue with the proof of Theorem 1. Let $I = [0, 1]$ denote the unit interval. For $\varphi \in C^\infty(I, \text{Diff}(M))$ with $\varphi_0 = \text{id}$ we define

$$\mathcal{U}_\varphi := \{N \in \text{Gr}_{k-2}(M) : \varphi_1(N) \subseteq V\}.$$

Lemma 2 implies that $\mathcal{U}_\varphi$ is an open covering of $\text{Gr}_{k-2}(M)$. Moreover we set

$$\lambda_\varphi := - \int_0^1 \varphi_t^* i_{\dot{\varphi}_t} \alpha \, dt \in \Omega^{k-1}(M).$$

A one line computation shows $d\lambda_\varphi = \alpha - \varphi_1^* \alpha$. Because of (iii) we particularly have $d\lambda_\varphi = \alpha$ on $\varphi_1^{-1}(V)$ and thus $d\widetilde{\lambda}_\varphi = \tilde{\alpha}$ on $\mathcal{U}_\varphi$. The $\widetilde{\lambda}_\varphi$ will be the connection forms, we are going to define the transition cocycle defining the S^1 -bundle.

Fix $\varphi, \psi \in C^\infty(I, \text{Diff}(M))$ with $\varphi_0 = \psi_0 = \text{id}$ and consider homotopies $\Phi \in C^\infty(I \times I, \text{Diff}(M))$ with $\Phi_{0,t} = \varphi_t$, $\Phi_{1,t} = \psi_t$ and $\Phi_{s,0} = \text{id}$ for all $s \in I$. For such a Φ we set

$$\mathcal{U}_\Phi := \{N \in \text{Gr}_{k-2}(M) : \Phi_{s,1}(N) \subseteq V \text{ for all } s \in I\}.$$

clearly $\mathcal{U}_\Phi$ are open subsets of $\mathcal{U}_\varphi \cap \mathcal{U}_\psi$. Lemma 2 shows that for every $N \in \mathcal{U}_\varphi \cap \mathcal{U}_\psi$ there exists a homotopy Φ with ends φ and ψ , such that $N \in \mathcal{U}_\Phi$. In other words $\mathcal{U}_\Phi$ constitute an open covering of $\mathcal{U}_\varphi \cap \mathcal{U}_\psi$, as Φ varies with fixed ends φ and ψ . For such a Φ we define

$$\tau_\Phi := \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \Phi_{s,t}^* i_{\delta\Phi(\partial_t)} i_{\delta\Phi(\partial_s)} \alpha \, ds \, dt \in \Omega^{k-2}(M).$$

Using the Maurer–Cartan equation for the left logarithmic derivative, cf. [KM97],

$$[\delta\Phi(\partial_s), \delta\Phi(\partial_t)] = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta\Phi(\partial_s) - \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \delta\Phi(\partial_t),$$

an easy computation yields

$$d\tau_\Phi = \lambda_\psi - \lambda_\varphi + \int_0^1 \Phi_{s,1}^* i_{\delta\Phi(\partial_s)} \alpha \, ds.$$

Particularly $\widetilde{\lambda}_\psi - \widetilde{\lambda}_\varphi = d\widetilde{\tau}_\Phi$ on $\mathcal{U}_\Phi$. Note that $\widetilde{\tau}_\Phi$ is a function on $\text{Gr}_{k-2}(M)$ and obviously $\widetilde{\tau}_\Phi(N) = \int_{I \times I \times N} \hat{\Phi}_N^* \alpha$ with $\hat{\Phi}_N(s, t, x) = \Phi_{s,t}(x)$, $x \in N$. If Ψ is another homotopy with ends φ and ψ and $N \in \mathcal{U}_\Phi \cap \mathcal{U}_\Psi$ then

$$\widetilde{\tau}_\Psi(N) - \widetilde{\tau}_\Phi(N) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Indeed, $\hat{\Psi}_N - \hat{\Phi}_N$ represents a class in $H_k(M, A; \mathbb{Z})$ and $\widetilde{\tau}_\Psi(N) - \widetilde{\tau}_\Phi(N)$ is the pairing of this class with $[\alpha] \in H^k(M, A; \mathbb{R})$. From (iv) we see that the result must be integral as well.

So when considered as functions $\mathcal{U}_\Phi \rightarrow S^1 := \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ the $\widetilde{\tau}_\Phi$ fit together and define well defined smooth $f_{\varphi\psi} : \mathcal{U}_\varphi \cap \mathcal{U}_\psi \rightarrow S^1$ satisfying $df_{\varphi\psi} = \widetilde{\lambda}_\psi - \widetilde{\lambda}_\varphi$. A similar argument shows that they satisfy the cocycle condition $f_{\varphi\psi} + f_{\psi\rho} - f_{\varphi\rho} = 0$ as functions $\mathcal{U}_\varphi \cap \mathcal{U}_\psi \cap \mathcal{U}_\rho \rightarrow S^1$, where S^1 is written additively.

Now define $\mathcal{P}$ to be the principal S^1 -bundle one obtains when gluing $\mathcal{U}_\varphi \times S^1$ with the help of $f_{\varphi\psi}$. On $\mathcal{U}_\varphi \times S^1$ we define $\eta_\varphi := \lambda_\varphi + d\theta$, where $d\theta$ denotes the standard volume form on S^1 . These locally defined η_φ define a global principal connection $\eta \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{P})$, for we have $df_{\varphi\psi} = \widetilde{\lambda}_\psi - \widetilde{\lambda}_\varphi$ on $\mathcal{U}_\varphi \cap \mathcal{U}_\psi$. Since $d\widetilde{\lambda}_\varphi = \tilde{\alpha}$ on $\mathcal{U}_\varphi$ its curvature form is $\tilde{\alpha}$. This finishes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Example 1. Let us consider the case $k = 2$. So α is a closed integral 2-form and $\text{Gr}_{k-2}(M)$ is the space of oriented points in M . Let $\mathcal{M}$ denote the connected component of $\text{Gr}_{k-2}(M)$ where the submanifolds consist of a single positively oriented point. Certainly $\mathcal{M} = M$ and $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha$. So in this case the restriction of the bundle $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k-2}(M)$ to $\mathcal{M}$ gives the classical circle bundle with connection corresponding to the closed integral 2-form α .

Remark 1. A Theorem of R. Thom implies that the action of $\text{Diff}(M)_0$ on connected components of $\text{Gr}_n(M)$ is transitive. Hence connected components of $\text{Gr}_n(M)$ are

homogeneous spaces of $\text{Diff}(M)_0$. Actually Thom's theorem shows that $\text{Diff}(M)_0$ acts transitively on connected components of $\text{Emb}(N, M)$, the space of smooth embeddings of N in M . So connected components of $\text{Emb}(N, M)$ are homogeneous spaces of $\text{Diff}(M)_0$ too. Below we will see that similar statements hold for the group of volume preserving diffeomorphisms.

Moreover the connected components of $\text{Emb}(N, M)$ are principal bundles over corresponding connected components of $\text{Gr}_n(M)$, see [KM97]. The structure group is the group of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of N .

3. UNIVERSAL CONSTRUCTION

Suppose we have a principal S^1 -bundle $\pi : (\mathcal{P}, \eta) \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}, \Omega)$ with connection η and curvature Ω . We assume $\mathcal{M}$ connected but it may very well be infinite dimensional. We associate Kostant's exact sequence of groups, see [K70]:

$$1 \rightarrow S^1 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}, \eta) \rightarrow \text{Ham}(\mathcal{M}, \Omega) \rightarrow 1.$$

Here $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}, \eta)$ is the connected component of the group of equivariant connection preserving diffeomorphisms of $\mathcal{P}$ and $\text{Ham}(\mathcal{M}, \Omega)$ is the group of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms of $\mathcal{M}$. The latter can either be described as the connected component of holonomy preserving diffeomorphisms, or as the kernel of a flux homomorphism [NV].

The group $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}, \eta)$ acts on $\mathcal{M}$ in a Hamiltonian way with equivariant moment map

$$\hat{\mu} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \text{aut}(\mathcal{P}, \eta)^*, \quad \hat{\mu}(x)(\xi) = -(i_\xi \eta)(\pi^{-1}(x)).$$

This moment map is universal in the following sense: Whenever we have a Hamiltonian action of a Lie group G on $\mathcal{M}$, we can pull back Kostant's extension and get a 1-dimensional central group extension:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S^1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}, \eta) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ham}(\mathcal{M}, \Omega) \\ \parallel & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ S^1 & \longrightarrow & \tilde{G} & \longrightarrow & G \end{array}$$

This is a Lie group extension, even if Kostant's extension is only a group extension in this infinite dimensional setting, see [NV]. Moreover the pull back $\tilde{\mu} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^*$ of $\hat{\mu}$ is a smooth equivariant moment map for the $\tilde{G}$ -action on $\mathcal{M}$. Consider the corresponding central extension of Lie algebras:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{R} & \longrightarrow & \text{aut}(\mathcal{P}, \eta) & \longrightarrow & \text{ham}(\mathcal{M}, \Omega) \\ \parallel & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \zeta \\ \mathbb{R} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathfrak{g} \end{array}$$

Proposition 1. *In the situation above suppose moreover that G acts transitively on $\mathcal{M}$ and admits an injective but not necessarily equivariant moment map $\mu : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$. Then the equivariant moment map $\tilde{\mu} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^*$ is one-to-one onto a coadjoint orbit of $\tilde{G}$. Moreover it pulls back the Kirillov–Kostant–Souriau symplectic form to Ω .*

Proof. Note first, that $p^* \circ \mu : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^*$ is an injective but not necessarily equivariant moment map for the $\tilde{G}$ -action on $\mathcal{M}$. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is connected, two moment maps differ by a constant in $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^*$. Thus every moment map for the $\tilde{G}$ -action on $\mathcal{M}$ is

injective, particularly $\tilde{\mu}$. Next, $\tilde{G}$ acts transitively, for G does. So the equivariance of $\tilde{\mu}$ implies that $\tilde{\mu}$ is onto a single coadjoint orbit. A straight forward calculation shows that the pull back of the Kirillov–Kostant–Souriau symplectic form is Ω . $\square$

Till the end of the section we will denote all the left G -actions by a dot. Suppose we have a not necessarily equivariant moment map $\mu : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$. Let $h : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{R})$ denote the dual map, that is $h_X(x) = \mu(x)(X)$, for $x \in \mathcal{M}$ and $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. The universal property of the pull back implies that there is a unique section $\sigma : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ with $i_{\sigma(X)}\eta = -\pi^*h_X$. Conversely every section is obtained in this way. So we have a one-to-one correspondence of not necessarily equivariant moment maps $\mu : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ and sections of $p : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$. Every such choice gives a linear isomorphism

$$\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad (a, X) \mapsto a + \sigma(X). \quad (1)$$

Via (1) the equivariant moment map $\tilde{\mu} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^*$ we constructed above is

$$\tilde{\mu} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{g})^* = \mathbb{R}^* \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*, \quad \tilde{\mu} = (-1^*, \mu).$$

Here 1^* is the dual base to 1 considered as base of $\mathbb{R}$. Equivalently $\tilde{\mu}(x)(a, X) = \mu(x)(X) - a$, for $x \in \mathcal{M}$, $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

Define $\kappa : G \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ by $-\kappa(g^{-1})(X) = g \cdot \sigma(X) - \sigma(g \cdot X)$. So κ is the failure of σ to be G -equivariant. Then via (1) the adjoint action is

$$g \cdot (a, X) = (a - \kappa(g^{-1})(X), g \cdot X), \quad \text{for } g \in G.$$

The function κ satisfies $\kappa(g_1 g_2) = \kappa(g_1) + g_1 \cdot \kappa(g_2)$, hence it is a 1-cocycle (derivation) on G with values in $\mathfrak{g}^*$. For $g \in G$ and $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ the function $h_{g \cdot X} - g \cdot h_X$ is locally constant, hence constant since $\mathcal{M}$ is connected. So we get a function $G \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ which measures the failure of the moment map to be G -equivariant. One readily checks $-\kappa(g^{-1})(X) = h_{g \cdot X} - g \cdot h_X$, equivalently $\kappa(g) = \mu(g \cdot x_0) - g \cdot \mu(x_0)$, for every $x_0 \in \mathcal{M}$. So the section σ is G -equivariant iff the corresponding moment map is G -equivariant.

Via (1) we can express the Lie bracket as

$$[(a, X), (b, Y)] = (c(X, Y), [X, Y]),$$

where $c \in \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g}^*$ is the cocycle $c(X, Y) = [\sigma(X), \sigma(Y)] - \sigma([X, Y])$. Note that c also is a measure for the failure of σ to be $\mathfrak{g}$ -equivariant. By choosing different sections σ we obtain all 2-cocycles c in one cohomology class, but different sections could define the same 2-cocycle. Moreover the differential of $\kappa : G \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ at the identity satisfies $(T_e \kappa \cdot X)(Y) = c(X, Y)$. Since we had $-\kappa(g^{-1})(X) = h_{g \cdot X} - g \cdot h_X$, we get

$$c(X, Y) = h_{[X, Y]} + L_{\zeta_X} h_Y = h_{[X, Y]} + \{h_X, h_Y\} = h_{[X, Y]} - \Omega(\zeta_X, \zeta_Y). \quad (2)$$

The unexpected signs of the second summands stem from the convention for the Lie derivative of functions, which is an infinitesimal right action and quite confusing. Thus c also is a measure for the failure of the moment map to be $\mathfrak{g}$ -equivariant. Particularly the moment map μ is $\mathfrak{g}$ -equivariant iff the corresponding section σ is $\mathfrak{g}$ -equivariant. Finally for every point $x_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ we have $c(X, Y) = h_{[X, Y]}(x_0) - \Omega(\zeta_X, \zeta_Y)(x_0)$. So we see that $c(X, Y) = -\Omega(\zeta_X, \zeta_Y)(x_0)$ is a cocycle describing the extension $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow 0$ and corresponds to moment maps satisfying $\mu(x_0) = 0$.

4. CODIMENSION TWO GRASSMANNIANS

Let M be a closed m -dimensional manifold with integral volume form ν , that is $\int_M \nu \in \mathbb{Z}$. From Theorem 1 we get a principal S^1 -bundle $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{m-2}(M)$ and a principal connection η whose curvature form is $\Omega := \tilde{\nu}$. Recall that $\Omega_N(Y_1, Y_2) = \int_N i_{Y_2} i_{Y_1} \nu$ for tangent vectors Y_1 and Y_2 at N , i.e. sections of $TN^\perp$. Note that Ω is symplectic, i.e. (weakly) non-degenerate. The action of the group of volume preserving diffeomorphisms $\text{Diff}(M, \nu)$ on $\text{Gr}_{m-2}(M)$ preserves the symplectic form Ω . In dimension $m = 3$ the symplectic form Ω is known as the Marsden–Weinstein symplectic form on the space of unparameterized oriented links, see [MW83].

Let $\text{Ham}(M, \nu)$ denote the group of exact volume preserving diffeomorphisms with Lie algebra

$$\text{ham}(M, \nu) = \{X \in \mathfrak{X}(M) : i_X \nu \text{ exact differential form}\}.$$

The action of $\text{Ham}(M, \nu)$ on $\text{Gr}_{m-2}(M)$ is Hamiltonian. Indeed, this follows from $[\text{ham}(M, \nu), \text{ham}(M, \nu)] = \text{ham}(M, \nu)$, see [L74], the fact that $\text{ham}(M, \nu)$ acts symplectically and the fact that the Lie bracket of two symplectic vector fields (on $\text{Gr}_{m-2}(M)$) will be Hamiltonian. In our special situation we do not actually need this general argument, for we have the following

Lemma 3. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a connected component of $\text{Gr}_{m-2}(M)$ and choose $N_0 \in \mathcal{M}$. Then*

$$\mu : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \text{ham}(M, \nu)^*, \quad \mu(N)(X) = \int_N \alpha - \int_{N_0} \alpha, \quad \text{where } i_X \nu = d\alpha$$

is a well defined and injective moment map for the $\text{Ham}(M, \nu)$ -action on $\mathcal{M}$. Particularly $\text{Ham}(M, \nu)$ acts in a Hamiltonian way on $\mathcal{M}$.

Proof. The definition is meaningful since $\mu(N)(X) = \int_\tau i_X \nu$ for any bordism τ in M with boundary $N - N_0$, and this expression does not depend on the choice of τ , for $i_X \nu$ is exact.

The fundamental vector field of $X \in \text{ham}(M, \nu)$ is $\zeta_X(N) = X|_N$. To show that μ is a moment map, we verify that the function $h(N) := \mu(N)(X)$ is a Hamiltonian function for the vector field ζ_X . Indeed, up to a constant, h equals $\tilde{\alpha}$, and thus

$$dh = d\tilde{\alpha} = \widetilde{d\alpha} = i_X \nu = i_{\zeta_X} \tilde{\nu} = i_{\zeta_X} \Omega.$$

The injectivity of this moment map is easily seen choosing α with appropriate support. $\square$

Proposition 2. *The action of $\text{Ham}(M, \nu)$ on connected components of $\text{Gr}_n(M)$ is transitive, provided $m - n \geq 2$.*

Proof. We will show more. Namely we will prove that $\text{Ham}(M, \nu)$ acts transitively on every connected component of $\text{Emb}(N, M)$, the space of embeddings of N in M .

First we show that the action of $\text{Ham}(M, \nu)$ on $\text{Emb}(N, M)$ is infinitesimal transitive, i.e. every vector field along a closed submanifold N in M of codimension at least two, can be extended to an exact divergence free vector field on M . We start with an arbitrary extension $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ of the given vector field $X \in \Gamma(TM|_N)$. By the relative Poincaré lemma for the m -form $\beta = L_Y \nu$, there exists an $(m-1)$ -form λ on a tubular neighborhood U of N in M , such that $d\lambda = \beta$ on U and $\lambda|_N = 0$. The relation $i_Z \nu = \lambda$ defines a vector field $Z \in \mathfrak{X}(U)$ with properties: $Z|_N = 0$ and $L_Z \nu = \beta$. Then $Y - Z$ is a divergence free vector field on U extending X . Since

$m - 1 > n$ we have $H^{m-1}(U) = 0$, in particular $Y - Z$ is an exact divergence free vector field. It can be extended to an exact divergence free vector field $\tilde{X} \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ with $\tilde{X}|_N = X$.

Next we show that every isotopy of N in M extends to an exact volume preserving diffeotopy of M . Indeed, an isotopy $h_t : N \rightarrow M$ determines a smooth family of vector fields X_t on M along $N_t = h_t(N) \subset M$. By the infinitesimal transitivity we can extend each X_t to an exact divergence free vector field $\tilde{X}_t$. Looking closer at the construction above, we see that the extension can be chosen smoothly depending on t . The diffeotopy ϕ_t determined by $\tilde{X}_t$ is exact volume preserving and extends the isotopy h_t , i.e. $h_t = \phi_t \circ h_0$. So $\text{Ham}(M, \nu)$ acts transitively on connected components of $\text{Emb}(N, M)$. $\square$

Remark 2. The connected components of $\text{Gr}_n(M)$ and $\text{Emb}(N, M)$ can be written as homogeneous spaces of $\text{Ham}(M, \mu)$. In the first case the isotropy group is the subgroup of exact volume preserving diffeomorphisms leaving $N \in \text{Gr}_n(M)$ invariant, in the second case it is the subgroup of exact volume preserving diffeomorphisms fixing N pointwise.

Proposition 1, Lemma 3, Proposition 2 and Theorem 1 prove the following

Theorem 2. *Let M be a closed m -dimensional manifold with integral volume form ν and let $\mathcal{M}$ be a connected component of $\text{Gr}_{m-2}(M)$ equipped with the symplectic form $\Omega = \tilde{\nu}$. Then there exists a central extension of $\text{Ham}(M, \nu)$ by S^1 such that $\mathcal{M}$ is a coadjoint orbit of this extension. Particularly this coadjoint orbit is prequantizable.*

Remark 3. Recall that the central extension in Theorem 2 is the pull-back of Kostant's extension by the Hamiltonian action of $\text{Ham}(M, \nu)$ on $\mathcal{M}$. Choose an element N_0 in $\mathcal{M}$. The moment map μ from Lemma 3 vanishes at N_0 , so by (2) the corresponding Lie algebra cocycle on $\text{ham}(M, \nu)$ is $c_{N_0}(X, Y) = -\Omega(\zeta_X, \zeta_Y)(N_0) = -\int_{N_0} i_Y i_X \nu$. The failure of the moment map μ to be equivariant is $\kappa(\varphi)(X) = \int_{\varphi(N_0)} \alpha - \int_{N_0} \alpha$, with $i_X \nu = d\alpha$.

Remark 4. A result of Roger [R95] says that the second Lie algebra cohomology group of $\text{ham}(M, \nu)$ is isomorphic to $H_{m-2}(M; \mathbb{R})$, the 2-cocycle on $\text{ham}(M, \nu)$ defined by the $(m-2)$ -cycle σ on M being $c_\sigma(X, Y) = -\int_\sigma i_Y i_X \nu$. Every homology class σ in $H_{m-2}(M; \mathbb{Z})$ has a representative which is a closed submanifold of codimension 2 in M . The representative N_0 can be taken to be the zero set of a section transversal to the zero section in a rank two vector bundle with Euler class the Poincaré dual of σ . It follows that all 1-dimensional central extensions of $\text{ham}(M, \nu)$ corresponding to $\sigma \in H_{m-2}(M; \mathbb{Z})$ can be integrated to group extensions. The original construction is due to Ismagilov [I96]. However, we even get the Lie group structure on the extensions by using a result in [NV].

Remark 5. Suppose $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}'$ are two connected components of $\text{Gr}_{m-2}(M)$ corresponding to homologous submanifolds of M . Choose $N_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ and $N'_0 \in \mathcal{M}'$. Since N_0 and N'_0 are homologous we can choose a smooth $(m-1)$ -chain B_0 in M with $\partial B_0 = N'_0 - N_0$. Denote $\mathfrak{g} := \text{ham}(M, \nu)$, $G := \text{Ham}(M, \nu)$ and define

$$\lambda_0 \in \mathfrak{g}^*, \quad \lambda_0(X) := - \int_{B_0} i_X \nu.$$

This does not depend on the choice of B_0 , for $i_X \nu$ is exact. Via Lemma 3 N_0 and N'_0 give rise to moment maps $\mu_0 : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ and $\mu'_0 : \mathcal{M}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ with corresponding cocycles c_0 and c'_0 and $\kappa_0 : G \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ and $\kappa'_0 : G \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$, respectively, see Remark 3. An easy calculation shows

$$c'_0(X, Y) - c_0(X, Y) = \lambda_0(-[X, Y]) \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa'_0(\varphi) - \kappa_0(\varphi) = \lambda_0 - \varphi \cdot \lambda_0 \quad (3)$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ and all $\varphi \in G$. Again, the unexpected minus sign stems from the fact, that the usual Lie bracket of vector fields $[X, \cdot]$ is an infinitesimal right action, whereas on Lie groups the Lie bracket $[X, \cdot]$ is an infinitesimal left action. Moreover the moment maps give rise to Lie algebra isomorphisms $\mathbb{R} \oplus_{c_0} \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\mathbb{R} \oplus_{c'_0} \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}'$. Using these identifications and λ_0 from above we can define a mapping

$$\Phi_0 : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \simeq \mathbb{R} \oplus_{c_0} \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \oplus_{c'_0} \mathfrak{g} \simeq \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}', \quad \text{by} \quad \Phi_0(a, X) = (a + \lambda_0(X), X).$$

This is an isomorphism of Lie algebras and G -equivariant for we have (3). Particularly the Lie algebra extensions $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow 0$ are isomorphic, as expected.

When defining $\Phi_0 : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}'$ we made two choices, namely N_0 and N'_0 . We claim that Φ_0 is independent of them. Indeed, suppose $N_1 \in \mathcal{M}$ and $N'_1 \in \mathcal{M}'$, choose B_{01} and B'_{01} such that $\partial B_{01} = N_1 - N_0$ and $\partial B'_{01} = N'_1 - N'_0$ and define $\rho_{01} \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ by $\rho_{01}(X) = -\int_{B_{01}} i_X \nu$ and $\rho'_{01} \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ by $\rho'_{01}(X) = -\int_{B'_{01}} i_X \nu$, respectively. Again this does not depend on the choice of B_{01} or B'_{01} . Moreover choose B_1 , such that $\partial B_1 = N'_1 - N_1$ and define $\lambda_1(X) := -\int_{B_1} i_X \nu$. One easily checks that the composition

$$\mathbb{R} \oplus_{c_0} \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \oplus_{c_1} \mathfrak{g} \quad \text{is given by} \quad (a, X) \mapsto (a + \rho_{01}(X), X)$$

and similarly for $c'_0, c'_1, \mu'_0, \mu'_1$ and ρ'_{01} . Thus $\Phi_0 = \Phi_1$ is equivalent to $\rho_{01} + \lambda_1 = \lambda_0 + \rho'_{01}$ which is equivalent to

$$-\int_{B_{01}} i_X \nu - \int_{B_1} i_X \nu = -\int_{B_0} i_X \nu - \int_{B'_{01}} i_X \nu,$$

but this follows since $i_X \nu$ is exact and the integral is over a cycle.

Summarizing we have seen that whenever the components $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}'$ consist of homologous submanifolds, there is a canonic G -equivariant isomorphism of Lie algebras $\Phi : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}'$. Particularly the coadjoint orbits of $\tilde{G}$ and $\tilde{G}'$ coincide. We are not aware of a more intrinsic definition of Φ , and we don't know if the corresponding group extensions are isomorphic in this situation.

Finally, suppose $\mathcal{M}$ is a component of $\text{Gr}_{m-2}(M)$ which consists of 0-homologous submanifolds. Then

$$\mu : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*, \quad \mu(N)(X) := \int_N \alpha, \quad \text{with } d\alpha = i_X \nu$$

is a G -equivariant moment map. So we get a canonic G -equivariant isomorphism of Lie algebras $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \simeq \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$. Moreover $\mathcal{M}$ is a coadjoint orbit of G , canonically.

5. GENERALIZED VORTEX FILAMENT EQUATION

For a Riemannian metric g on M with induced volume form $\nu(g) = \nu$, we identify the normal bundle $TN^\perp$ with the Riemannian orthonormal bundle $TN^{\perp_g}$

and denote by g the induced metric on it. We endow the symplectic manifold $(\text{Gr}_{m-2}(M), \Omega)$ with a Riemannian metric

$$\tilde{g}(Y_1, Y_2) = \int_N g(Y_1, Y_2) \nu(g|_N) \quad \text{for } Y_1, Y_2 \in \Gamma(TN^{\perp_g}).$$

For $N \in \text{Gr}_{m-2}(M)$, the vector bundle $TN^{\perp_g}$ is oriented, 2-dimensional and has a metric, so we can define a fiber wise complex structure J on $TN^{\perp_g}$ by rotation with $+90$ degrees. It induces an almost complex structure $\tilde{J}$ on $\text{Gr}_{m-2}(M)$ which is compatible with Ω and $\tilde{g}$, that is $\Omega(Y_1, Y_2) = \tilde{g}(\tilde{J}Y_1, Y_2)$.

The g -volume of the submanifold gives a smooth function on $\text{Gr}_{m-2}(M)$

$$h : \text{Gr}_{m-2}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad h(N) = \int_N \nu(g|_N). \quad (4)$$

Lemma 4. *For the $\tilde{g}$ -gradient of h we have $(\text{grad } h)(N) = -\text{tr } \Pi_N$, where $\Pi_N \in \Gamma(S^2 T^*N \otimes TN^{\perp_g})$ denotes the second fundamental form of the submanifold N .*

Proof. For $Y \in \Gamma(TN^{\perp_g})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} dh(Y) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_N \text{tr}(L_Y g) \nu(g|_N) \\ &= \int_N \text{tr}(\nabla Y) \nu(g|_N) \\ &= - \int_N \text{tr } g(\Pi_N, Y) \nu(g|_N) \\ &= -\tilde{g}(\text{tr } \Pi_N, Y). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\tilde{g}$ is weakly non-degenerated we conclude $\text{grad } h = -\text{tr } \Pi$. $\square$

Since $\tilde{J}$, Ω and $\tilde{g}$ are compatible, the Hamiltonian vector field of h is $X_h = \tilde{J}(\text{grad } h) = J \text{tr } \Pi$ and this proves the following

Proposition 3. *The Hamiltonian equation for the Hamiltonian function (4) is*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} N_t = J \text{tr } \Pi_{N_t}.$$

In dimension $m = 3$ this equation is known as the vorticity filament equation, see [MW83].

Remark 6. Let N be a closed oriented manifold of dimension $m-2$. The expression $J \text{tr } \Pi$ can also be considered as a vector field on $\text{Emb}(N, M)$. Suppose ι_t is a curve of embeddings solving

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \iota_t = J \text{tr } \Pi_{\iota_t(N)} \quad (5)$$

and let f be an orientation preserving diffeomorphism of N . Then $\iota_t \circ f$ will again be a solution of (5). The geometric interpretation of this fact is the following. When restricting to suitable connected components, the space of embeddings becomes a principal $\text{Diff}(N)$ -bundle over the non-linear Grassmannian. Here $\text{Diff}(N)$ denotes the group of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms. Using the Riemannian metric we can write down a connection of this bundle, known as a mechanical connection. For an embedding $\iota : N \rightarrow M$, the vertical tangent space is the space of vector fields along ι tangent to $\iota(N)$. So the space of vector fields along ι having values in the Riemannian orthogonal complement of $\iota(N)$ is a complement to the vertical

tangent space. This complements define a connection, which is obviously a principal connection. Regarding the expression $J \operatorname{tr} \Pi$ as a vector field on the space of embeddings, just means considering the horizontal lift of $J \operatorname{tr} \Pi$. Since the connection is principal, parallel transport will be $\operatorname{Diff}(N)$ -equivariant. This translates to $\iota_t \circ f$ is a solution of (5) iff ι_t was.

Remark 7. Let ι_t be a curve of embeddings in M . Then we get a curve of Riemannian metrics $\iota_t^* g$ on N . This gives rise to a curve of volume forms $\nu(\iota_t^* g)$ on N . If ι_t is a solution of (5) this curve will be constant. Indeed, for every horizontal ι_t one shows $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nu(\iota_t^* g) = -g(\operatorname{tr} \Pi, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \iota_t)$ as in the proof of Lemma 4. If ι_t solves (5) this implies $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nu(\iota_t^* g) = -g(\operatorname{tr} \Pi, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \iota_t) = g(J \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \iota_t, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \iota_t) = 0$. In the case of oriented knots in a 3-dimensional M , this implies that a solution of (5), parameterized by arc length at time t_0 , will have the same property for every time t .

6. SYMPLECTIC GRASSMANNIANS

Suppose (M, ω) is a closed connected symplectic manifold. Let $\operatorname{SGr}_{2k}(M) \subseteq \operatorname{Gr}_{2k}(M)$ denote the open subset of oriented submanifolds which are symplectic. We don't assume the elements in $\operatorname{SGr}_{2k}(M)$ to be oriented by their symplectic form. Note that $\operatorname{SGr}_{2k}(M)$ is invariant under the action of the group of symplectic diffeomorphisms $\operatorname{Diff}(M, \omega)$. Set $\alpha := \omega^{k+1}$. Then $\Omega := \tilde{\alpha}$ is a closed 2-form on $\operatorname{Gr}_{2k}(M)$. Note that $\operatorname{SGr}_{2k}(M) \subseteq \operatorname{Gr}_{2k}(M)$ is an open subset on which Ω is (weakly) non-degenerate, hence a symplectic manifold. Indeed, for an almost complex structure J on M tamed by ω and $Y \in \Gamma(TN^{\perp \omega})$ we have $\Omega_N(Y, JY) = (k+1) \int_N \omega(Y, JY) \omega^k$, vanishing iff $Y = 0$.

Let $\operatorname{Ham}(M, \omega)$ denote the Lie group of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms with Lie algebra $\operatorname{ham}(M, \omega)$ of Hamiltonian vector fields on M . The action of $\operatorname{Ham}(M, \omega)$ on $\operatorname{SGr}_{2k}(M)$ is Hamiltonian. Indeed we already know that the action is symplectic and since $[\operatorname{ham}(M, \omega), \operatorname{ham}(M, \omega)] = \operatorname{ham}(M, \omega)$, see [C70], the action must be Hamiltonian. In our special situation one does not have to use this general argument, for one can write down Hamilton functions.

Lemma 5. *The mapping*

$$\mu : \operatorname{SGr}_{2k}(M) \rightarrow \operatorname{ham}(M, \omega)^*, \quad \mu(N)(X) := (k+1) \int_N f \omega^k,$$

is an injective equivariant moment map for the $\operatorname{Ham}(M, \omega)$ -action on $\operatorname{SGr}_{2k}(M)$. Here f is the unique Hamilton function of X with zero integral. Particularly the action is Hamiltonian.

Proof. First we show that $h(N) := (k+1) \int_N f \omega^k$ is a Hamiltonian function for the fundamental vector field ζ_X of $X \in \operatorname{ham}(M, \omega)$. Note that $h = (k+1) \widetilde{f \omega^k}$ and $(k+1)d(f \omega^k) = (k+1)df \wedge \omega^k = i_X \omega^{k+1}$. Thus

$$dh = (k+1) \widetilde{df \omega^k} = (k+1) \widetilde{d(f \omega^k)} = \widetilde{i_X \omega^{k+1}} = i_{\zeta_X} \widetilde{\omega^{k+1}} = i_{\zeta_X} \Omega.$$

So μ is a moment map. The injectivity is obvious. Finally for every $\varphi \in \operatorname{Ham}(M, \omega)$ we have

$$\mu(\varphi(N))(X) = (k+1) \int_{\varphi(N)} f \omega^k = (k+1) \int_N (\varphi^* f) \omega^k = \mu(N)(\varphi^* X).$$

and thus μ is equivariant. $\square$

Proposition 4. *The group $\text{Ham}(M, \omega)$ acts transitively on every connected component of $\text{SGr}_{2k}(M)$.*

Proof. We first show that the action is infinitesimal transitive. So suppose $N \in \text{SGr}_{2k}(M)$ and let X be a tangent vector at N , i.e. a section of the normal bundle $TN^\perp$. Since N is a symplectic submanifold we can identify the normal bundle with the ω -orthogonal complement $TN^{\perp_\omega}$ of TN . So we may assume that X is a section of $TN^{\perp_\omega}$. Consider $i_X\omega$ as a function, say λ , on the total space E of $TN^{\perp_\omega}$ which happens to be linear along the fibers. One easily shows that $d\lambda = i_X\omega$ along $N \subseteq E$. Considering E as a tubular neighborhood of N one easily gets a function λ' on M such that $d\lambda' = i_X\omega$ along $N \subseteq M$. So the Hamiltonian vector field to λ' will be an extension of X . Thus $\text{Ham}(M, \omega)$ acts infinitesimally transitive on $\text{SGr}_{2k}(M)$.

Suppose N_t is a curve in $\text{SGr}_{2k}(M)$ and set $X_t := \frac{\partial}{\partial t} N_t$, a section of $TN_t^\perp$. For every fixed time t the section X_t can be extended to a vector field in $\text{ham}(M, \omega)$ as shown above. Moreover it is clear that this extension can be chosen smoothly with respect to the parameter t . Now the flow of this extension clearly gives a curve in $\text{Ham}(M, \omega)$ transporting, say, N_0 to N_1 . $\square$

Proposition 1, Lemma 5, Proposition 4 and Theorem 1 prove the following

Theorem 3. *Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold, such that $[\omega]^{k+1} \in H^{2k+2}(M; \mathbb{R})$ is integral and let $\mathcal{M}$ denote a connected component of $\text{SGr}_{2k}(M)$ endowed with the symplectic form $\Omega = \widetilde{\omega^{k+1}}$. Then $\mathcal{M}$ is a coadjoint orbit of $\text{Ham}(M, \omega)$. Particularly this coadjoint orbit is prequantizable.*

Remark 8. Since we have an equivariant moment map the Lie algebra extension $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow 0$ from section 3 with $\mathfrak{g} = \text{ham}(M, \omega)$ is trivial. This is the reason why $\text{SGr}_{2k}(M)$ can be considered as coadjoint orbit of $\text{Ham}(M, \omega)$ rather than as coadjoint orbit of a central extension. However, the group extension

$$1 \rightarrow S^1 \rightarrow \tilde{G} \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1 \quad (6)$$

from section 3 with $G = \text{Ham}(M, \omega)$ may very well be non-trivial as the following example shows.

Example 2. Let $M = S^2$, ω the standard symplectic form of mass 1 and let $k = 0$. Then $\mathcal{M} = S^2$ is a connected component of $\text{SGr}_{2k}(M)$ and $\Omega = \omega$, cf. Example 1. The bundle $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is the Hopf fibration $S^3 \rightarrow S^2$ and η is the standard contact structure on S^3 . Since $M = \mathcal{M}$, the group extension (6) is trivial iff Kostant's extension

$$1 \rightarrow S^1 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(S^3, \eta) \rightarrow \text{Ham}(S^2, \omega) \rightarrow 1$$

is trivial. The equivariant moment map from Lemma 5 provides a Lie algebra homomorphism $\sigma : \text{ham}(S^2, \omega) \rightarrow \text{aut}(S^3, \eta)$, right inverse to the projection. So Kostant's group extension is trivial iff this Lie algebra homomorphism integrates to a group homomorphism. However this is not the case. Indeed, the loop in $\text{Ham}(S^2, \omega)$ given by rotation around an axis does not integrate to a closed curve in $\text{Aut}(S^3, \eta)$. To see this, note first that the Hamilton function generating the rotation vanishes along the equator, for it has zero integral. So σ maps the Hamilton vector field to an element of $\text{aut}(S^3, \eta)$ which is horizontal over the equator of S^2 . So integrating our loop of rotation gives a curve in $\text{Aut}(S^3, \eta)$ whose flow lines over

the equator of S^2 are horizontal. Such a flow line has holonomy $1/2$, for this is the total curvature of a hemisphere. Thus it is not closed.

Alternatively one can use the fact that the Hamilton function generating the rotation has values $\pm 1/2$ at the poles.

REFERENCES

- [C70] E. Calabi, *On the group of automorphisms of a symplectic manifold*, Problems in Analysis, Symp. in honor of S. Bochner, 1–26, Princeton University Press, 1970.
- [I96] R. S. Ismagilov, *Representations of infinite-dimensional groups*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs **152**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.
- [K70] B. Kostant, *Quantization and unitary representations*, Lectures in modern analysis and applications III, 87–208, Lecture Notes in Math. **170**, Springer, Berlin, 1970.
- [KM97] A. Kriegl and P. W. Michor, *The convenient setting of global analysis*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **53**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [L74] A. Lichnerowicz, *Algèbre de Lie des automorphismes infinitésimaux d'une structure unimodulaire*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **24**(1974), 219–266.
- [MW83] J. Marsden and A. Weinstein, *Coadjoint orbits, vortices, and Clebsch variables for incompressible fluids*, Phys. D **7**(1983), 305–323.
- [NV] K.-H. Neeb and C. Vizman, *Flux homomorphisms and principal bundles over infinite dimensional manifolds*, to appear in Monatsh. Math.
- [R95] C. Roger, *Extensions centrales d'algèbres et de groupes de Lie de dimension infinie, algèbre de Virasoro et généralisations*, Rep. Math. Phys. **35**(1995), 225–266.

STEFAN HALLER, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF VIENNA, STRUDLHOFGASSE 4, A-1090 VIENNA, AUSTRIA.

E-mail address: `Stefan.Haller@univie.ac.at`

CORNELIA VIZMAN, WEST UNIVERSITY OF TIMISOARA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BD. V. PARVAN 4, 1900 TIMISOARA, ROMANIA.

E-mail address: `vizman@math.uvt.ro`